

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/806,421	03/23/2004	Hideaki Naruse	Q80646	2271
23373 7590 01/28/2008 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC		EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			THOMPSON, CAMIE S	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		,	01/28/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/806,421	NARUSE ET AL.			
,	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Camie S. Thompson	1774			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHC WHIC - Extens after S - If NO - Failure Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirged in the common strain of the common strains and the common strains are the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on May 2	<u> 29, 2007</u> .				
<i>,</i> —	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
(	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition	on of Claims		•			
5)□	Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 7-20</u> is/are pending in the app (a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 7-20</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers						
10) 🔲 7	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment	• •	. <b></b>	7770 440			
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/806,421 Page 2

Art Unit: 1794

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This Office Action supersedes the Office Action dated July 2007.

- 2. Applicant's amendment and accompanying remarks filed May 29, 2007 are acknowledged.
- 3. Applicant's request for clarification and restart of period for response is acknowledged.
- 4. Examiner acknowledges amended claims 1 and 7.
- 5. Examiner acknowledges cancelled claims 5 and 6.
- 6. The rejection of claims 1-20 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ishikawa,
- U.S. Pre Grant Publication 2004/0058157 is withdrawn due to applicant's argument.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

8. Claims 1-2, 4, 7-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sawai et al., U.S. Patent Number 6,638,645.

Application/Control Number: 10/806,421

Page 3

Art Unit: 1794

Sawai discloses a film for use in an organic EL device. The reference discloses a laminated film that comprises a substrate (base film) made from PET and a gas barrier layer made from organic inorganic hybrid materials (see column 2, lines 45-62). Figure 2 of the reference shows that the gas barrier layer is between two base material films. Also, Sawai discloses that the organic inorganic hybrid material layer has a thickness of 1 micron and that the substrate (base) layer has a thickness of 12 microns. Tables 1 and 2 of the reference disclose the oxygen permeability of the organic inorganic hybrid layer that corresponds to the required oxygen permeability for the gas barrier layer of the present claims. The reference discloses in column 5, lines 1-10 that the organic inorganic hybrid layer comprises an alkoxy-group containing acrylic resin, which has a hydroxyl forming group. The Sawai reference does not disclose that the gas barrier layer is formed by the sol-gel method. Claim 1 is a product-by-process claim. Even though product-byprocess claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process. See MPEP 2113. Both the reference and the present claims comprise a film for a display device wherein the film comprises a base material film and at least one gas barrier layer wherein the gas barrier layer is an organic inorganic hybrid layer. The manner in which the gas barrier layer is formed does not make it a different product from the recited claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/806,421 Page 4

Art Unit: 1794

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claim 3 and 14-20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sawai et al., U.S. Patent Number 6,638,645 in view of Kotani et al., U.S. Patent Number 5,766,751. Sawai discloses a film for use in an organic EL device. The reference discloses a laminated film that comprises a substrate (base film) made from PET and a gas barrier layer made from organic inorganic hybrid materials (see column 2, lines 45-62). Figure 2 of the reference shows that the gas barrier layer is between two base material films. Also, Sawai discloses that the organic inorganic hybrid material lay has a thickness of 1 micron and that the substrate (base) layer has a thickness of 12 microns. Tables 1 and 2 of the reference disclose the oxygen permeability of the organic inorganic hybrid layer that corresponds to the required oxygen permeability for the gas barrier layer of the present claims. The reference discloses in column 5, lines 1-10 that the organic inorganic hybrid layer comprises an alkoxy-group containing acrylic resin, which has a hydroxyl forming group. The Sawai reference does not disclose that the gas barrier layer is formed by the sol-gel method. Claim 1 is a product-by-process claim. Even though product-byprocess claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a

Application/Control Number: 10/806,421

Art Unit: 1794

different process. See MPEP 2113. Both the reference and the present claims comprises a film for a display device wherein the film comprises a base material film and at least one gas barrier layer wherein the gas barrier layer is an organic inorganic hybrid layer. The manner in which the gas barrier layer is formed does not make it a different product from the recited claims. Sawai does not disclose that the base material comprises a layered compound. Kotani discloses a laminate film comprising a layer comprising a substance having a gas barrier property and at least one layer which is disposed on the gas barrier substance layer and comprises a resin composition comprising a resin and an inorganic laminar (layered) compound (see column 3, lines 9-15). Additionally, the reference discloses that the base material (e.g. resin film) can be a resin such as a polyolefin-type resin such as polyethylene, ethylene-propylene copolymer or polyester-type resin. It is disclosed in column 19, lines 19-24 that the oxygen permeability measurement at 30 deg C, 60% RH was below 0.1 cc/m<sup>2</sup> day atm for the laminate. A layered compound has strong Van der Waals forces due to atoms being strongly bonded together. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have the base film of the Sawai reference is a layered compound in order to obtain strong Van der Waals forces for increased gas barrier properties.

Page 5

11. Claims 14-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kotani et al., U.S. Patent Number 5,766,751.

Kotani discloses a laminate film comprising a layer comprising a substance having a gas barrier property and at least one layer which is disposed on the gas barrier substance layer and comprises a resin composition comprising a resin and an inorganic laminar (layered) compound

Art Unit: 1794

(see column 3, lines 9-15). Additionally, the reference discloses that the base material (e.g. resin film) has a hydgroen bond forming resin. Column 13, lines 40-68 of the reference disclose that a metal or oxide can be used as a substance having a gas barrier property and that the process for forming the film of the metal or oxide can be by the sol-gel method. It is disclosed in column 19, lines 19-24 that the oxygen permeability measurement at 30 deg C, 60% RH was below 0.1 cc/m² day atm for the laminate. Kotani discloses a solution that is microwaved to form the gas barrier laminate (see column 12, lines 38-58 and column 13, lines 1-12). Kotani does not disclose a metal alkoxide. However, the reference does disclose the use of a metal or an oxide. An oxide is generic and encompasses an alkoxide. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a metal oxide in the Kotani reference in that it is encompassed by the generic oxide.

### Response to Arguments

12. Applicant's arguments filed May 29, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant has amended claim 1 to recite that the organic inorganic hybrid layer is formed using a polymer having a hydrogen bond forming group. It is disclosed in Sawai in column 5 that the organic inorganic hybrid layer comprises an alkoxy-group containing acrylic resin, which has hydrogen bond forming groups. The Sawai rejection is maintained.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Camie S. Thompson whose telephone number is (571) 272-1530. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 am to 4:00 pm. If

Application/Control Number: 10/806,421 Page 7

Art Unit: 1794

attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano, can be reached at (571) 272-1398. The fax phone number for the Group is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DRIMARY EXAMINER